

From Christopher Slobogin, *Privacy at Risk: The New Government Surveillance and the Fourth Amendment*, p. 112 (Univ. Chicago Press, 2007)

TABLE 1

*Mean Intrusiveness Ratings of Twenty Scenarios*

<i>Scenario</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>ConfidenceInterval</i>
1. Looking in foliage in park	8	$\pm 4$
2. Health & safety inspection of factory	14	$\pm 4$
<b>3. Monitoring cameras at national monuments</b>	<b>20</b>	<b><math>\pm 7</math></b>
<b>4. Monitoring cameras at government buildings, airports, train stations</b>	<b>20</b>	<b><math>\pm 7</math></b>
5. Inspection of a coal mine	25	$\pm 5$
<b>6. Monitoring cameras at convenience and retail stores</b>	26	$\pm 8$
7. Stopping drivers at roadblock for 15 seconds	35	$\pm 5$
<b>8. Monitoring covert street cameras with zoom capacity</b>	<b>42</b>	<b><math>\pm 9</math></b>
9. Flying helicopter 400 feet over backyard	50	$\pm 5$
10. Police officer noticeably following person down street	50	$\pm 5$
11. Going through garbage cans at curbside	51	$\pm 5$
12. Search of a junkyard	51	$\pm 5$
<b>13. Monitoring overt street cameras; tapes destroyed after 96 hours</b>	<b>53</b>	<b><math>\pm 8</math></b>
14. Monitoring a beeper on a car for three days	63	$\pm 5$
15. Use of device that can see through clothing to detect outline of items	67	$\pm 5$
16. A patdown of outer clothing, feeling for weapons	68	$\pm 5$
<b>17. Use of a video camera to overhear a conversation on the street</b>	<b>70</b>	<b><math>\pm 5</math></b>
<b>18. Same as 13, but tapes not destroyed</b>	<b>73</b>	<b><math>\pm 8</math></b>
19. Body cavity search at border	75	$\pm 5$
20. Search of bedroom	76	$\pm 5$